

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Michigan

United States of America

v.

SEANN PATRICK PIETILA

Case No. 1:23-mj-278

Defendant(s)

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

I, the complainant in this case, state that the following is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

On or about the date(s) of June 1-2, 2023 in the county of Ingham in the
Western District of Michigan, the defendant(s) violated:

Code Section

18 U.S.C. § 875(c)

Offense Description

Interstate Communications

This criminal complaint is based on these facts:

☒ Continued on the attached sheet.

The Court processed the complaint remotely. The Court verified the Affiant's identity (by AUSA confirmation and through Affiant self-identification). Affiant attested to the affidavit and complaint via telephone, which the AUSA transmitted by remote electronic means (e-mail). The Court signed the original complaint and transmitted a correct copy of same to the Applicant, via the AUSA, by remote electronic means (e-mail). The process complied with Rules 3 and 4.1.

*Ryan Roskey**Complainant's signature*

Ryan D. Roskey Special Agent FBI

*Printed name and title*Date: 06/16/2023*Maarten Vermaat**Judge's signature*City and state: Marquette, Michigan

Maarten Vermaat, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title